

# **Technical Committee Parking Lot Comments**

## **14 Feb 08**

Comparisons to make:

- Physical Demarcation (Not Completed) vs. Disturbance vs. Success.
- Herb layer vs. invasive species domination and how that relates to success.

Questions to answer:

- HAB I Strata - Invasive Control vs. % of Invasive coverage...Is there a quality control issue in our data?
- Physical Demarcation
  - What works?
  - What doesn't?
- Bond Estimates – Should physical demarcation be estimated for when required?
- What technique is used most often for invasive control?
- What technique is most successful for invasive control?
- Are there any cases where disturbance alone was clearly the root cause of failure?
- Page 1-1 – overall success conclusions – Permits with covenants more likely to succeed? Maybe having a covenant makes an applicant more likely to do the mitigation?
- Page 3-1 – Table 2.D-3 – Why is total wetland 108 and not 146?
- Page 3-2 – Conclusions – If you can observe physical demarcation, doesn't it mean it's functioning well? Are functional ratings really that significant?
- Page 4-2 – Conclusions – 2<sup>nd</sup> Bullet – Interesting to watch and see if this changes as more covenants are required on Type I Habitat permits.
- Page 6-1 – Can we break this out by sites that are stormwater facilities?

Issues to address:

- Overall success of mitigation site can be related to up front funding of the full monitoring period. (5/10 Years)
- If developers commit to the above, then agencies should allow smaller mitigation ratios because success is expected. Current agency mitigation ratios include a margin of error.

Projects to do:

- Maintenance Guide Handout (CPU willing to help) – The idea was to develop a guide to help mom & pops understand how to do maintenance and invasive control.
- Find easier way to release developer from obligation and transfer it to home owners association etc...